VOLUME 1 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2023

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION



APIS - 3920 - 0019 | ISBN - 978-81-961097-1-4

JOURNAL OF RESEARCH ETHICS (Free Publication and Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page – https://jre.iledu.in/

Journal's Editorial Page - https://jre.iledu.in/editorial-board/

Volume 1 and Issue 1 (Access Full Issue on - https://jre.iledu.in/category/volume-1-andissue-1-of-2023/)

Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education (Established by I.L.E. Educational Trust)

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 94896 71437 - info@iledu.in / Chairman@iledu.in



© Institute of Legal Education

Copyright Disclaimer: All rights are reserve with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer https://jre.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/



UNDERSTANDING TORTS JURISPRUDENCE

AUTHOR – ASHIKA. M, VIJAYKUMAR, STUDENT AT ALLIANCE UNIVERSITY, BANGALORE

Best Citation - ASHIKA. M, UNDERSTANDING TORTS JURISPRUDENCE, *JOURNAL OF RESEARCH ETHICS (JRE),* 1 (1) of 2023, Pg. 11-19, APIS – 3920 – 0019 | ISBN - 978-81-961097-1-4.

Abstract

Tort law analyses the rules and concepts that regulate civil wrongs and their subsequent responsibility. This abstract presents a basic summary of torts jurisprudence, covering tort elements, negligence, deliberate torts, strict liability, defenses, tort remedies, and public policy's role. Tort law focuses on the components required to establish a tort, such as the duty of care, breach of duty, causation, and damages. One key component determining the standard of care, breach, causation, and resulting harm. Intentional torts include intentional acts that cause harm, including assault and slander. Individuals are held accountable for behaviors or conditions regardless of blame or purpose under strict liability. Contributory negligence and acceptance of risk are tort law defenses that can be used to challenge responsibility. Tort remedies seek to compensate victims and return them to pre-injury condition. Tort law is developed and interpreted with public policy considerations in mind, balancing goals such as thorough understanding of tort jurisprudence. It provides a framework for analyzing civil wrongs, determining liability, and seeking remedies while considering societal ramifications and policy aims.

Keywords: civil, behavior, wrong, liability, remedies, defense.

Introduction

Tort jurisprudence is an area of legal theory concerned with civil wrongs and the legal principles governing liability for such wrongs. It is a fundamental topic of study within the science of law, providing a framework for understanding and resolving conflicts originating from wrongful conduct, harm, or injury. The term "tort" is derived from the Latin word "tortum," which means "wrong" or "injury." In torts law, a tort is a civil wrong that causes harm or injury to another person or their property, for which the law provides a remedy in the form of compensation. In contrast to criminal law, which deals with offences against society, tort law is concerned with harm between individuals or entities.

The study of tort jurisprudence entails a thorough examination of the elements, theories, and principles that underpin civil liability. It covers a wide range of themes, including negligence, intentional torts, strict liability, defences, and remedies, as well as the relationship between tort law and public policy. In tort law, negligence is a key notion. It entails analysing the level of care given to others by persons or entities, determining whether or not there was a breach of that obligation, demonstrating a causal link between the breach and the harm suffered, and calculating the consequent damages. In negligence cases, considerations such as foreseeability, reasonableness, and the actions or omissions of individuals involved are frequently the examined. Intentional torts are defined as wrongdoing performed with the aim to inflict hurt or injury. Assault, battery, slander, and false



VOLUME I AND ISSUE I OF 2023

APIS - 3920 - 0019 | ISBN - 978-81-961097-1-4

imprisonment are among examples. These torts concentrate on the intentional nature of the behaviors and necessitate a separate set of factors and legal concepts to establish responsibility.

Strict responsibility is a different feature of tort law. It makes individuals or entities liable for particular behaviors or conditions, independent of blame or intent. Strict responsibility is generally applied in circumstances involving inherently harmful actions or products, where the emphasis is on the potential risk rather than the defendant's degree of care. In tort law, defenses are extremely important. Defendants can use them to contest or minimize their liability by claiming elements like contributory comparative negligence, negligence, assumption of risk, or consent. Understanding these defenses is critical for determining total responsibility in a given case. Tort remedies seek to compensate and alleviate the suffering of those who have experienced pain or loss as a result of a civil wrong. These remedies may include compensatory damages, which seek to return the injured party to pre-injury condition, as well as punitive damages in circumstances of severe misbehavior. In some cases, injunctive relief, restitution, and specific performance may be possible as remedies.

Furthermore, public policy factors impact tort jurisprudence. developing When and interpreting legal concepts, courts and legislators consider societal interests, justice, and the overall impact of tort liability. Policy may include deterrence, victim qoals recompense, attaining remedial justice, and rights balancing individual with greater community considerations. Legal practitioners, scholars, and politicians who study tort jurisprudence develop a complete understanding of civil wrongs, responsibility, defenses, remedies, and the overarching principles that form this vital area of law. This information serves as the foundation for analysing and resolving disputes in civil affairs, ensuring fairness, accountability, and justice.

Elements of torts

Tort law is the study of civil wrongs and the legal concepts that regulate liability for those wrongs. While there are many different sorts of torts, there are some basic characteristics that undergo tort law. These elements of torts jurisprudence are as follows:

1. Duty of care: Many torts, particularly those involving negligence, include a duty of care as a key component. Several conditions must be met to prove the existence of a duty of care:

Legal obligation: The first component is the acknowledgement of a legal responsibility owed by the defendant to the plaintiff. When a reasonable person in the defendant's position would anticipate that their conduct or omissions could cause injury to others, this obligation emerges.

Foreseeability of Harm: The defendant must be able to anticipate that their actions would cause harm to the plaintiff. Consider whether a reasonable person in the defendant's situation would have anticipated the possibility of injury.

Proximity: The relationship or proximity between the defendant and the plaintiff is referred to as proximity. It can be physical proximity, such as when the defendant directly interacts with the plaintiff, or relational proximity, such as when professional or contractual contacts are involved.

Reasonable Standard of Care: The defendant must be held to a reasonable standard of care in their actions or omissions. What a reasonable person would do in similar circumstances is used to define the standard of care. This test considers variables such as the defendant's actions, the level of risk involved, and the possible harm that could occur.

Special Relationships: A duty of care may exist in certain situations due to the parties' special relationships. These interactions can include doctor-patient, employer-employee, or landlord-tenant ties, in which the defendant has a greater duty of care to the plaintiff.



VOLUME I AND ISSUE I OF 2023

APIS - 3920 - 0019 | ISBN - 978-81-961097-1-4

It should be noted that the breadth and presence of a duty of care may differ based on the specific tort / authority. The courts are critical in assessing whether a duty of care exists in a specific instance according to the facts and circumstances provided. To determine the existence and extent of the duty of care, they analyze the elements as well as legal precedents and policy issues.

2. Breach of duty: Breach of duty is a vital component in tort law, especially in cases involving negligence. It refers to the defendant's failure to provide the required standard of care to the plaintiff. Several components must be met to demonstrate a breach of duty:

Established obligation of Care: The defendant must have a recognized obligation of care to the plaintiff. This duty is often assessed based on the facts of the case, the parties' relationship, and the applicable legal norms.

Failure to Meet the Expected Standard of Care: The defendant's actions must fall short of the expected standard of care in a particular situation. What a reasonable person would do in identical circumstances, considering elements such as the nature of the defendant's activities, the degree of risk involved, and any special professional or industry norms, determines the standard of care.

Reasonable Person Standard: The breach of duty is assessed based on the actions of a hypothetical "reasonable person" in the defendant's position. A breach of duty may be indicated if the defendant's acts or omissions differ from what a reasonable person would do.

Objective evaluation: A breach of duty evaluation is normally objective, focusing on whether a sane person in the defendant's situation would have done differently given the circumstances. Subjective intentions or views of the defendant are not considered unless they directly impair their capacity to meet the standard of care. Published by Institute of Legal Education <u>https://iledu.in</u>

It is crucial to note that determining breach of duty is frequently a factual question for a judge or court to make decisions based on the facts given. Each case is evaluated on its own merits, considering the unique circumstances and applicable legal norms. The defendant's level of care is context-dependent, depending on different situations and torts.

3. Causation: Causation is a fundamental component in tort law that demonstrates a link between the party's neglect of duty and the plaintiff's harm. It entails proving that the defendant's acts or omissions were the true and immediate cause of the plaintiff's injury. In a tort case, the subsequent components are considered to demonstrate causation:

Cause-in-Fact: Cause-in-fact causation, also known as "but for" causation, considers whether the plaintiff's harm would not have happened "but for" the defendant's conduct or omissions. In other words, cause-in-fact is shown if it can be demonstrated that the injury would not have occurred if the defendant had done something differently. This requirement necessitates proving a direct causal link between the defendant's actions and the plaintiff's injury.

Proximate Cause: Proximate cause, commonly referred to as legal cause, is concerned with the legal and policy constraints on a defendant's accountability for the consequences of their acts. It evaluates whether the plaintiff's harm was an anticipated effect of the defendant's actions. The test for proximate cause depends on if there is an adequate connection between the defendant's breach of duty and the harm suffered by the plaintiff to find the defendant accountable.

The following factors are frequently used to determine proximate cause:

Foreseeability refers to whether a sane individual might have predicted what would happen because of their acts.



VOLUME I AND ISSUE I OF 2023

APIS - 3920 - 0019 | ISBN - 978-81-961097-1-4

Published by Institute of Legal Education

<u>https://iledu.in</u>

Directness: Whether the defendant's behavior directly caused the harm, with no intervening events interrupting the connection of causation.

The defendant's conduct must fit within the limits of the risks that caused their conduct or error to be irresponsible in the first place.

Considerations of public policy: Whether establishing liability in a specific case would serve the basic goals of tort law, such as fostering prevention, fairness, and avoiding excessive liability.

To establish that the defendant's violation of duty was the actual and legal cause of the plaintiff's harm, both cause-in-fact and proximate cause must be established. Even if a breach of duty is demonstrated, the claim made by the plaintiff may fail if causation cannot be established.

It should be noted that the specific requirements for causation may differ based on the authority and the type of tort involved. When evaluating whether causation has been proven, courts look at the circumstances and facts of each case.

4. Damages: Damages are a vital component in tort law because they refer to the plaintiff's harm, hurt, or loss because of the defendant's improper conduct or omissions. Several factors must be addressed to demonstrate this element in a tort case:

Actual Harm or Injury: Damages need proof of the plaintiff's actual harm or injury. This can include bodily harm, property damage, emotional distress, financial losses, or any other measurable loss caused by the defendant's actions.

Causation: There must be a causal link between the defendant's improper actions or omissions and the plaintiff's suffering or injury. The plaintiff must show that the defendant's actions played a direct or material role in causing the alleged damage. Compensatory Damages: The basic goal of tort law is to compensate the damaged person. The goal of compensatory damages is to put the plaintiff back in the position they would have been in if the tortuous act had not occurred. This can include both economic and noneconomic losses, such as medical bills, property repair costs, and lost wages, as well as pain and suffering, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life.

Damage Mitigation: The plaintiff has a duty to mitigate their damages, which means they must take reasonable steps to limit the extent of their losses. If the plaintiff fails to mitigate their damages, the amount of compensation they can receive may be reduced.

Speculative or Remote losses: In general, tort law does not provide recovery for speculative or remote losses. Damages must be foreseeable and related to the defendant's wrongdoing. Damages may be limited in some situations to those that are certain and proven.

Punitive Damages (in some cases): Punitive damages may be paid in addition to compensatory damages in extraordinary circumstances. Punitive damages are meant to penalize the offender for particularly egregious behaviors and to deter future similar behaviors.

In tort proceedings, damages are normally assessed by the court or jury based on the evidence given and the appropriate legal requirements. The goal of damages is to offer equitable compensation to the affected person while also deterring future wrongdoing and holding those who cause harm accountable for their acts.in tort jurisprudence, these four elements-duty of care, breach of duty, causation, and damages-form the fundamental framework for assessing culpability. While unique torts might include additional features or differences in their usage, these essential aspects are critical for comprehending the foundation of civil wrongs and the legal repercussions in tort law.

Essentials of torts jurisprudence



VOLUME I AND ISSUE I OF 2023

APIS - 3920 - 0019 | ISBN - 978-81-961097-1-4

The underlying concepts and key elements that constitute the basis of this discipline of legal thought are included in the essentials of torts jurisprudence. These necessities include

Civil Wrongs: The concept of civil wrongs, which involve a breach of an individual's rights or interests, is central to torts law. Tort law provides a legal framework for dealing with and resolving disputes stemming from such wrongdoing.

Duty of Care: A key tenet in tort law is the duty of care. It creates the legal obligation for persons or entities to take reasonable precautions to avoid causing harm to others. The obligation of care varies based on the circumstances and the parties concerned.

Breach of Duty: When an individual or entity fails to achieve the required standard of care owed to others, this is called a breach of duty. It entails deviating from the anticipated degree of behaviour, whether by act or omission. A breach of duty is a critical component in determining responsibility in tort suits.

Causation establishes the relationship between the defendant's breach of duty and the plaintiff's suffering or injury. It entails proving that the defendant's actions or omissions were the actual and proximate cause of the plaintiff's harm. In tort proceedings, causation is critical in evaluating responsibility and the extent of damages.

Damages: Damages refer to the plaintiff's harm, hurt, or loss as a result of the defendant's improper behaviour. The evaluation of damages seeks to compensate the injured party and, to the greatest extent feasible, restore them to their pre-injury state. Economic losses, such as medical expenditures and property damage, may be included in damages, as well as non-economic losses, such as pain and suffering and emotional anguish.

Defenses: In tort law, defenses are extremely important. They enable defendants to contest or limit their liability by relying on considerations such as contributory negligence, acceptance of risk, or statutory defenses. The availability and applicability of defences are determined by the facts of the case and the applicable legal norms.

Remedies: Tort law offers aggrieved parties with remedies to compensate for the harm they have incurred. Remedies can include compensatory damages, punitive damages (in extreme situations), injunctive relief, and restitution. The goal of remedies is to deliver justice, deter misconduct, and restore the wounded individual to the greatest extent feasible.

Policy Considerations: Public policy influences tort law. When creating and interpreting legal principles, courts and legislators examine societal interests, fairness, and the overall impact of tort liability. Policy goals may include fostering deterrence, compensating victims, and maintaining a balance between individual rights and society requirements.

By researching these features, legal practitioners, scholars, and policymakers gain insight into how tort law works and its role in ensuring justice and accountability for civil wrongs.

Types of torts

There are several types of torts, some of the main types of torts are as follows:

Negligence: Negligence is a key notion in tort law that holds persons or entities liable for failing to exercise reasonable care, which causes harm or injury to another party. A civil wrong occurs when a person fails to satisfy the standard of care anticipated in a certain scenario, hence infringing a duty of care due to others.

Certain components must be present to demonstrate negligence. To begin, the defendant must owe the plaintiff a duty of care. When there is a foreseeable danger of injury, this obligation often emerges. A driver on the



VOLUME | AND ISSUE | OF 2023

APIS - 3920 - 0019 | ISBN - 978-81-961097-1-4

Published by Institute of Legal Education <u>https://iledu.in</u>

road, for example, bears a duty of care to other drivers, pedestrians, and passenger.

Second, there must be a violation of that responsibility. The defendant's actions are judged against the standard of care that would be expected in comparable circumstances. If the defendant's conduct falls short of this standard, they are said to have violated their duty of care. A driver who exceeds the speed limit and causes an accident, for example, may be held to have exceeded their duty of care.

Finally, the breach of duty must have been the direct cause of the plaintiff's injuries. This means that the plaintiff's injury must have been a foreseeable result of the defendant's carelessness. If the defendant's actions are too far removed from the harm, they may not be found accountable.

Finally, due to the defendant's negligence, the plaintiff must have suffered genuine damages or injuries. These losses can be physical, emotional, or pecuniary. The plaintiff has the right to seek restitution for their damages, which include medical expenditures, lost wages, pain and suffering, and property damage.

It is crucial to highlight that the expected standard of care may differ based on the circumstances. Because of their specialized knowledge and expertise in their respective industries, professionals such as doctors and lawyers are held to a higher standard. Furthermore, some tasks may contain intrinsically risky conditions, necessitating a higher level of caution.

Overall, negligence is a critical notion in tort law that seeks to safeguard individuals from harm caused by others' irresponsible behaviors. Courts can evaluate whether a person should be held accountable for their negligence and pay the affected party by demonstrating the components of duty, breach, causation, and damages.

Intentional torts: are a type of civil wrong recognized by tort law in which the defendant's

conduct is deliberate and causes hurt or injury to another person or their property. These torts entail the intentional infringement of another person's rights, and the injured party may seek compensation for their losses. There are various types of deliberate torts, each with its own set of components and legal ramifications.

Assault is one type of intentional tort in which an individual knowingly causes another person to fear dangerous or objectionable touch. The fundamental aspects of assault are the defendant's intent, the victim's reasonable fear of harm, and the victim's understanding of the imminent harm.

Battery is a type of intentional tort that is like assault. It entails making damaging or offensive physical contact with another individual without their consent. The basic parts of battery are intent, actual physical contact, and the victim's lack of permission.

False incarceration is defined as the purposeful limitation of another person's freedom of movement without justification under the law. Physical confinement or the use of threats, intimidation, or deception to restrain an individual against their will are examples of this tort.

Trespass to land occurs when someone enters or remains on another person's property without permission. Even if no loss or harm is caused, this tort occurs since the interference with the landowner's possessory rights is legally significant.

Conversion is an intentional tort that involves the unfair deprivation or interference with another person's private property rights. It occurs when someone takes control of another person's property without their permission, preventing them from possessing or using it.

Defamation is the act of making false remarks about someone to damage their reputation. This deliberate tort includes both oral (slander) and written (libel) communication, with the



VOLUME I AND ISSUE I OF 2023

APIS - 3920 - 0019 | ISBN - 978-81-961097-1-4

false statement inflicting the victim's reputational harm.

These are only a few examples of torts recognized by tort law. To win in a legal claim, the plaintiff must show specified elements in each tort. If the injury is proven, the affected party may be entitled to monetary damages, injunctions, or other suitable relief as assessed by the court.

Strict liability is a tort law principle that holds persons or companies accountable for certain actions or products regardless of negligence or purpose. It establishes liability based on an activity's or product's inherent risk or danger. The main feature of strict liability is that the plaintiff does not need to prove the defendant's negligence or intentional wrongdoing.

Strict liability is frequently applied to ultrahazardous operations, such as storing explosives or carrying hazardous materials, where the danger of harm is considerable. It also applies to defective product instances, making manufacturers, distributors, and sellers liable for injuries caused by product faults, regardless of their level of care.

Furthermore, strict liability may apply to the ownership of dangerous animals, rendering owners liable for any injury caused by their animals.

The rationale behind strict liability is to ensure that individuals participating in high-risk activities or in the manufacture and distribution of products incur the expenses of potential harm, encouraging safety and protecting the interests of harmed parties. Strict responsibility acts as a deterrent, urging individuals and entities to take precautions and maintain a higher standard of care.

Product liability: is a legal concept that makes manufacturers, distributors, suppliers, and retailers liable for any harm caused by defective or unsafe products they sell. It is under the authority of tort law, which deals with civil wrongs and compensates aggrieved parties. Several aspects must be established to establish product liability. To begin, it must be demonstrated that the product was defective, whether because of its design, manufacturing method, or inadequate warnings and instructions. Second, the plaintiff must show that the flaw caused their harm or damage. Finally, it must be proven that the product was utilized as intended or in a predictable manner.

Product liability laws strive to safeguard customers by requiring manufacturers and dealers to assure the safety of their products. They promote responsible manufacturing practices and allow harmed parties to obtain compensation for medical bills, lost wages, pain and suffering, and other damage caused by product-related injuries.

Product liability suits might be based on strict liability, negligence, or breach of warranty, depending on the district. Manufacturers are held accountable for injuries caused by defective products, regardless of fault or carelessness. Negligence requires proving that the producer or seller failed to exercise reasonable care in the product's manufacturing or distribution.

Product liability laws seek to protect consumers by forcing manufacturers and dealers to ensure the safety of their products. They encourage safe manufacturing practices and allow damaged parties to seek compensation for medical bills, lost wages, pain and suffering, and other damages resulting from productrelated injuries.

Depending on the authority, product liability actions may be based on strict liability, carelessness, or breach of warranty. Regardless of guilt or carelessness, manufacturers are held liable for injuries caused by defective products. To establish negligence, the maker or seller must demonstrate that the product was manufactured or distributed with reasonable care.

Nuisance: Nuisance is a tort law concept that deals with unreasonable interference with



VOLUME I AND ISSUE I OF 2023

APIS - 3920 - 0019 | ISBN - 978-81-961097-1-4

another person's use and enjoyment of their property. It entails the violation of someone is right to quietly and properly enjoy their property because of another party's conduct or activities.

Private annoyance and public nuisance are the two basic categories of nuisance. Private annoyance refers to interference with the use and enjoyment of an individual's property caused by a neighboring property, such as excessive noise, vibrations, Oduors, or pollution. In contrast, public nuisance impacts the broader public or a specific community, such as creating a hazardous condition or obstructing public rights-of-way.

Several components must be shown to establish a nuisance claim. First, it must be demonstrated that there was an unreasonable impediment to the use and enjoyment of the property. This usually entails establishing that the interference is significant and unjustified, considering variables including the type of activity, its duration, and its impact on the affected property. Second, it must be proven that the defendant's acts or negligence caused the interference.

Damages: Damages and injunctive relief are also remedies for nuisance. Damages are intended to recompense the harmed party for the harm caused by the nuisance, such as property damage or loss of enjoyment. Injunctive remedies try to prevent further interference with the affected party's property rights, such as a court order preventing the continuation of the nuisance.

Nuisance laws are critical in balancing property owners' rights and interests, promoting harmonious cohabitation in communities, and guaranteeing that individuals can enjoy their property without excessive intervention.

Fraud: Fraud is a tort in which one party knowingly deceives another, causing them to rely on incorrect information and experience suffering or loss as a result. It entails the intentional misrepresentation or concealment of material information to deceive and

encourage the victim to act in ways they would not have otherwise.

Several components must be shown to support an allegation of fraud. The plaintiff must first demonstrate that the defendant made a false statement of fact or concealed a key fact. The assertion must be made deliberately or recklessly disregarding its veracity.

Second, it must be proven that the defendant meant to deceive the plaintiff and persuade them to rely on false information. Third, the plaintiff must demonstrate that they relied on the misleading statement or omission and incurred real suffering or loss as a result.

Fraudulent behaviors can occur in various settings, including business transactions, contracts, insurance claims, and investment schemes. Financial fraud, misleading advertising, Ponzi schemes, and dishonest misrepresentation of product features are common examples.

Compensation for fraud might include compensatory damages to compensate the victim's actual losses, as well as punitive damages to punish the culprit and deter future fraudulent behaviors. In rare situations, contract rescission or restitution may be sought to return the parties to their former positions before deception.

Fraud laws play an important role in discouraging dishonest behaviors, safeguarding persons, and enterprises from deceptive practices, and preserving the norms of fairness and honesty in civil interactions.

Conclusion:

To summarize, torts jurisprudence is an important branch of law that handles civil wrongs and provides remedies for people who have experienced harm or loss because of the conduct or omissions of others. It includes a wide spectrum of torts, such as carelessness, product responsibility, nuisance, and fraud.



VOLUME I AND ISSUE I OF 2023

APIS - 3920 - 0019 | ISBN - 978-81-961097-1-4

Tort law provides several vital functions. It seeks to compensate harmed parties for their losses, whether physical, emotional, or pecuniary. It also acts as a deterrent by holding individuals and entities accountable for their conduct, discouraging them from engaging in harmful or negligent behaviors.

Furthermore, tort law contributes to the establishment of standards of behaviors and the promotion of social order by defining acceptable boundaries for human interaction and preserving individual rights.

Tort law has changed over time to meet changing societal requirements and conditions. Courts and legislatures have broadened the scope of liability to address new types of harm and account for technological advances. Furthermore, as notions such as corporate responsibility, environmental protection, and consumer rights gain importance in legal discourse, tort law continues to evolve.

Tort law is critical to preserving a just and equitable society. It tries to balance individuals' rights and interests, guarantee accountability for wrongdoing, and provide remedies for those who have been harmed. Tort law contributes to the overall well-being and functioning of a civil society by holding wrongdoers accountable and compensating victims.

References:

https://courses.lumenlearning.com/sunymonroe-law101/chapter/general-law-of-torts/

https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/4-107-7398?transitionType=Default

https://www.stimmellaw.com/en/articles/product-liability-basics https://blog.ipleaders.in/negligence-in-thelaw-of-torts/ Published by Institute of Legal Education

<u>https://iledu.in</u>

